arXiv:1606.07888v2 [hep-ph] 18 Dec 2016

Q^2-evolution of parton densities at small x values. Charm contribution in the combined H1&ZEUS F_2 data. [1]

A. V. Kotikov and B.G. Shaikhatdenov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

Abstract

The Bessel-inspired behavior of parton densities at small x, obtained in the case of the flat initial conditions for DGLAP evolution equations, is used in the fixed flavor scheme to analyze precise H1&ZEUS combined data on the structure function F_2.

A reasonable agreement between the HERA data and next-to-leading-order (NLO) approximation of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) was observed for $Q^2 \geq 2$ GeV^2 [1], whereby giving a glimmer of hope that perturbative QCD is capable of describing the evolution of parton densities down to very low Q^2 values.

The ZEUS and H1 Collaborations have presented the new precise combined data [2] on the structure function (SF) F_2. The aim of this short paper is to compare the combined H1&ZEUS data with the predictions obtained by using the so-called doubled asymptotic scaling (DAS) approach [3], which is in turn based on the analytical solutions to DGLAP equations in the small-x limit [4].

To improve the analysis at low Q^2 values, it is important to consider well-known infrared modifications of the strong coupling constant. We are going to use the "frozen" and analytic versions (see, [5] and references therein).

The SF F_2 is a sum of the light-quark F_2^l and charm F_2^c terms, which look like [3, 6]

$$\begin{aligned} F_2^l(x,Q^2) &= e\,(f_q(x,Q^2) + \frac{2}{3} f a_s(Q^2) f_g(x,Q^2)), \quad a_s(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi}, \qquad (1) \\ F_2^c(x,Q^2) &= \frac{2}{3}\, e_c^2 a_s(Q^2+4m_c^2) \left(1 + \frac{2(1-c)}{\sqrt{1+4c}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+4c}+1}{\sqrt{1+4c}-1}\right) f_g(x,Q^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $e = (\sum_1^f e_i^2)/f$ is an average of light quark charges squared, $c = m_c^2/Q^2$ and m_c and $e_c = 2/3$ are the mass and charge of a charm quark. The SF F_2^c give contributions at $Q^2 \geq m_c^2$.

[1] Talk at the International Session-Conference SNP PSD RAS "Physics of Fundamental Interactions", JINR, Dubna, April 12 - 15, 2016.

The small-x asymptotic expressions for parton densities f_a can be written as follows [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_a(x,Q^2) &= f_a^+(x,Q^2) + f_a^-(x,Q^2), \quad (a=q,g) \\
f_g^+(x,Q^2) &= \left(A_g + \frac{4}{9}A_q\right) I_0(\sigma)\, e^{-\overline{d}_+ s} + O(\rho), \quad f_q^+(x,Q^2) = \frac{f}{9}\frac{\rho I_1(\sigma)}{I_0(\sigma)} + O(\rho), \\
f_g^-(x,Q^2) &= -\frac{4}{9}A_q e^{-d_- s} + O(x), \quad f_q^-(x,Q^2) = A_q e^{-d_-(1) s} + O(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

where I_ν ($\nu = 0, 1$) are the modified Bessel functions,

$$
s = \ln\left(\frac{a_s(Q_0^2)}{a_s(Q^2)}\right), \quad \sigma = 2\sqrt{\left|\hat{d}_+\right| s \ln\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}, \quad \rho = \frac{\sigma}{2\ln(1/x)}, \tag{3}
$$

and

$$
\hat{d}_+ = -\frac{12}{\beta_0}, \quad \overline{d}_+ = 1 + \frac{20f}{27\beta_0}, \quad d_- = \frac{16f}{27\beta_0} \tag{4}
$$

denote singular $\hat{d}_+$ and regular $\overline{d}_+$ parts of the anomalous dimensions $d_+(n)$ and $d_-(n)$, respectively, in the limit $n \to 1$.

By using the above results we have analyzed H1&ZEUS data for F_2 [2]. Unlike our previous studies [7], where we considered a charm quark to be light, here we take the number of light quarks $f = 3$, $m_c = 1.275$ GeV and $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1168$ in agreement with the ZEUS results given in [8].

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the twist-two approximation is reasonable for $Q^2 \geq 5$ GeV^2. At lower Q^2 we observe that the fits in the cases with "frozen" and analytic strong coupling constants are very similar (see also [9, 5, 7]) and describe fairly well the data in the low Q^2 region, as opposed to the fit with a standard coupling constant.

As a next step in this direction, we are going to extend the dataset analyzed by taking into consideration the combined H1&ZEUS data for the SF F_2^c [10] in the way adopted in [11], where old H1 and ZEUS data were used.

A.V.K. thanks the Organizing Committee of the International Session-Conference SNP PSD RAS "Physics of Fundamental Interactions" for invitation and support. This work was supported in part by RFBR grant 16-02-00790-a.

[2]Here, for simplicity we consider only the leading order approximation The NLO results can be found in [3].

References

[1] *Cooper-Sarkar A. M., Abt I., Foster R., Wing M., Myronenko V. and Wichmann K. //* "Study of HERA data at Low Q^2 and Low x," arXiv:1605.08577 [hep-ph]; *Kotikov A. V. //* Phys. Part. Nucl. 2007. **V. 38.** P. 1 [Erratum-ibid. 2007. **V. 38.** P. 828].

[2] *Aaron F. D. et al. (H1 and ZEUS Collaboration) //* JHEP. 2010. **V. 1001.** P. 109.

[3] *Kotikov A. V. and Parente G .//* Nucl. Phys. B. 1999. **V. 549.** P. 242.; *Illarionov A. Yu., Kotikov A. V. and Parente G. //* Phys. Part. Nucl. 2008. **V. 39.** P. 307.

[4] *De Rújula A., Glashow S. L., Politzer H. D., Treiman S. B., Wilczek F. and Zee A. //* Phys. Rev. D. 1974. **V. 10.** P. 1649; *Ball R. D. and Forte S. //* Phys. Lett. B. 1994. **V. 336.** P. 77; *Mankiewicz L., Saalfeld A. and Weigl T. //* Phys. Lett. B. 1997. **V. 393.** P. 175.

[5] *Cvetic G., Illarionov A. Yu., Kniehl B. A. and Kotikov A. V. //* Phys. Lett. B. 2009. **V. 679.** P. 350.

[6] *Illarionov A. Yu., Kniehl B. A. and Kotikov A. V. //* Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 66

[7] *Kotikov A.B. and Shaikhatdenov B. G. //* Phys. Part. Nucl. **44** (2013) 543; *Kotikov A.B. and Shaikhatdenov B. G. // //* Phys. Atom. Nucl. **78** (2015) no.4, 525

[8] *Chekanov S. et al. (ZEUS Collaboration) //* Eur. Phys. J. C. 2001. **V. 21.** P. 443.

[9] *Kotikov A. V., Lipatov A. V. and Zotov N. P. //* J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 2005. **V. 101.** P. 811; *Kotikov A. V., Krivokhizhin V. G. and Shaikhatdenov B. G. //* Phys. Atom. Nucl. 2012. **V. 75.** P. 507.

[10] *Abramowicz H. et al. (H1 and ZEUS Collaboration) //* Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) no.2, 2311

[11] *Illarionov A. Yu. and Kotikov A. V. //* Phys. Atom. Nucl. **75** (2012) 1234; *Kotikov A. V. //* Proceedings Helmholtz International Summer School on Physics of Heavy Quarks and Hadrons (HQ 2013), pp. 184-194 [Preprint DESY-PROC-2013-03].



Figure 1: x dependence of $F_2(x, Q^2)$ in bins of Q^2. The combined experimental data from H1 and ZEUS Collaborations [2] are compared with the NLO fits for $Q^2 \geq 1$ GeV^2 implemented with the standard (solid lines), frozen (dash-dotted lines), and analytic (dashed lines) modifications of the strong coupling constant.